# Computational Logic

Extraction of Answers

#### Damiano Zanardini

UPM EUROPEAN MASTER IN COMPUTATIONAL LOGIC (EMCL) SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MADRID damiano@fi.upm.es

Academic Year 2009/2010

## Introduction

### From ATP to extraction of answers

• the techniques for automated theorem proving can be also used for designing systems for extracting answers and solving problems

#### The idea

- the facts which are needed to find an answer or solve a problem can be seen as axioms or premises
- the question or the problem can be seen as a theorem to be proven

## Introduction

### Kinds of questions (and answers)

(A) yes/no questions

- is Luís in Madrid? Yes, Luís is in Madrid
- (B) questions like where is, who is, under which conditions, ...
  - where is Luís? Luís is in Madrid
- (C) questions whose answer is a sequence of actions
  - what do I have to do? Go to Madrid and take the train
- (D) questions whose answer includes verifying some conditions
  - what do I have to do? If there are still seats, go to Madrid and take the train, otherwise take the bus

## Type A

#### The correspondence

Since the answer can only be *yes* or *no*, it can be obtained by solving the deduction problem

given  $A_1, ..., A_n$ , is *P* certainly true?  $\rightsquigarrow$   $[A_1, ..., A_n] \vdash P$ 

#### Example

if one is in Madrid, then (s)he's not in Lugo $\neg p(x, Madrid) \lor \neg p(x, Lugo)$ Luís is in Madridp(Luis, Madrid)is Luís in Lugo? $\neg p(Luis, Lugo)$ 

- it's not possible to derive □ from this, so that we should not answer that Luís is in Lugo
- if the conclusion cannot be proven, then we should try to prove its negation
- if neither can be proven, then the answer should be not enough information

## Type B

#### The grandfather

if y is x's father and z is y's father, then z is x's grandfather $C_1$ everyone has a father $C_2$ who is a's grandfather? $C_0$ 



## Туре В

#### The grandfather

if y is x's father and z is y's father, then z is x's grandfather $C_1$ everyone has a father $C_2$ who is a's grandfather? $C_0$ 



#### The task

Find a sequence of actions for reaching a goal

- every object is supposed to be in a given state
- to reach the goal, the state has to be changed to the desired state
- ATP can be used for finding the actions which can produce the change

### Example



- p(x, y, z): x is in state z at y
- $f_1(x, a, b, z)$ : final state obtained by moving from *a* to *b* the object *x* which is in state *z*
- $f_2(x, b, c, z)$ : final state obtained by moving from b to c the object x which is in state z

how can d go from a to c?Cd is initially in aCwith state  $s_1$ C

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_0: & \neg p(d,c,z) \lor ans(z) \\ C_1: & p(d,a,s_1) \\ C_2: & \neg p(d,a,z) \lor p(d,b,f_1(d,a,b,z)) \\ C_3: & \neg p(d,b,z) \lor p(d,c,f_2(d,b,c,z)) \end{array}$$

## Type C

#### Example



#### The monkey and the banana

Predicates

- p(x, y, z, s): in the state s, the monkey is at x, the banana is at y and the chair is at z
- r(s): in the state s, the monkey can reach the banana

Functions

- walks(y, z, s): the state reached when the monkey walks from y to z starting in the state s
- takes(y, z, s): the state reached when the monkey, starting in the state s, walks from y to z taking the chair with itself
- *climbs*(*s*): the state reached when the monkey, starting in the state *s*, climbs the chair

#### The monkey and the banana

Axioms

- $p(a, b, c, s_1)$
- $\neg p(x, y, z, s) \lor p(z, y, z, walks(x, z, s))$
- $\neg p(x, y, x, s) \lor p(y, y, y, takes(x, y, s))$
- $\neg p(x, x, x, s) \lor r(climbs(s))$

Question

• 
$$\neg r(s) \lor ans(s)$$

Do these axioms allow the monkey to do whatever it wants?

### The idea

- the task is to find a sequence of actions which, *under certain conditions*, can take to the goal
- it makes sense when the given information does not allow a definite decision

#### How it works

- every *object* is supposed to be in a given *state*
- to reach the goal, the state has to be changed to the desired state
- ATP can be used for finding the actions which can produce the change, but the application of the actions may be dependent on certain conditions
- the *resolution tree* can be transformed into a *decision tree* by introducing an algorithm for extracting information

### Example

• if someone is younger than 5, then (s)he has to take medicine a

$$C_1: \neg p(x) \lor r(x,a)$$

• if someone is not younger than 5, then (s)he has to take medicine b

 $C_1: p(x) \vee r(x,b)$ 

• which medicine should Carl take?

 $C_0: \neg r(c, x) \lor ans(x)$ 

#### Example



- let  $C\alpha \lor D\alpha$  be the resolvent of  $L' \lor C$  and  $\neg L'' \lor D$ , with  $\alpha = MGU(L', L'')$
- let e' be the edge from  $L' \lor C$  to  $C \alpha \lor D \alpha$
- let  $e^{\prime\prime}$  be the edge from  $\neg L^{\prime\prime} \lor D$  to  $C \alpha \lor D \alpha$
- then, e' is labelled with  $\neg L'\alpha$  (note the  $\neg$ ) and e'' is labelled with  $L''\alpha$  (note that there is no  $\neg$ )



• put the tree upside-down and remove clauses from non-leaf nodes



• ignore paths leading to clauses without ans, and clean irrelevant parts

## Conclusion

### Completeness

• resolution is complete for answer extraction: if a question has an answer, then an *answer clause* can be deduced by resolution

### Questions and answers (type B and C)

- $\bullet~$  let  ${\cal C}$  a set of clauses, representing facts
- let find values for  $x_1..x_k$  such that  $p(x_1..x_k)$  holds be the question
- the question has an answer iff  $\mathcal{C} \vdash \exists x_1 .. \exists x_k p(x_1 .. x_k)$
- the query Q will be  $\neg p(x_1..x_k) \lor ans(x_1..x_k)$

#### Theorem

The question has an answer iff there exists a deduction of an answer clause starting from  $\mathcal{C}\cup\{Q\}$ 

• resolution not only tells if there is an answer, but also what this answer is